# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

| Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY <i>(Leave blank)</i>  | 2. REPORT DATE  | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES                                 | COVERED  |  |  |  |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The sensitivities of yeast strain antimalarial drugs.  | s deficient in PDR ABC transpo  | rters, to quinoline-ring                                 | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS   |  |  |  |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Emerson, Lyndal R.; Skillman Wirth, D.F.  | n, Bradley C.; Wolfger, H.; Kuc   | chler, K.;   |  |  |  |  |
| Institute of Medical Biochemis<br>Vienna, Vienna, Austria  | D ADDRESS(ES) Research; Silver Spring, MD 2 ry, Dept of Molecular Genetics, ous Diseases, Harvard School of | Univ & BioCenter of                                      | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER   |  |  |  |
| 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAM  | IE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)   |  | 10. SPONSORING / MONITORING<br>AGENCY REPORT NUMBER  |  |  |  |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEM<br>Reprints: L. R. Emerson: lyn  |   |  | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE   |  |  |  |
| <b>DIS</b> T<br>Ap   | PRIBUTION STATEMENT Proved for Public Release   | ase  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)   | Distribution Unlimited Parasitology, Vol. 98, No. 6, 6  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sensitivity in S. cervisae. This quinoline-drug resistance in P.   | is consistent with the hypothesis falciparum, and complements the   | s that such a transporter<br>the results of previous stu | transporter in mediating chloroquine plays a role in mediating dies on the parasite. Additional gene arently through the modulation of |  |  |  |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS  |   |  | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES  |  |  |  |
| Malaria, resistance, chloroquin  | e, quinolines   |  | 16. PRICE CODE   |  |  |  |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED   | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED   | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED     |  |  |  |  |

## **SHORT COMMUNICATION**

# **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

# The sensitivities of yeast strains deficient in PDR ABC transporters, to quinoline-ring antimalarial drugs

Chemotherapeutic treatment of *Plasmodium* falciparum malaria has relied heavily on the quinoline-containing drugs quinine, chloroquine, mefloquine and halofantrine (Foote and Cowman, 1994; Foley and Tilley, 1998). These drugs have similar structures and are thought to act by inhibiting the polymerization of the toxic haem that is generated as the parasite breaks down haemoglobin derived from the host's erythrocytes (Slater and Cerami, 1992; Foley, 1995; Dorn et al., 1998).

In some cases, resistance to these compounds may be a result of a multi-drugresistance (MDR) mechanism involving the P-glycoprotein ATP-binding-cassette (ABC) transporters. Some strains of parasite exhibit cross-resistance to drugs such as auinine. mefloquine and halofantrine (Cowman et al., 1994; Peel et al., 1994), and some resistant strains exhibit amplification of the P. falciparum mdr homologue PfMDR1 (Foote et al., 1989; Wilson et al., 1993). Other lines of evidence indicate, however, that a genetic locus other than PfMDR1 is important for mediating chloroquine resistance. Data from the Wellems laboratory strongly indicate an association between chloroquine resistance and the gene PfCRT from a region of chromosome 7; this region was originally identified in a cross between sensitive and resistant clones of P. falciparum (Wellems et al., 1991; Fidock et al., 2000). On the other hand, a link between mefloquine resistance and PfMDR1 is supported by the fact that mefloquine-resistant field isolates and resistant laboratory strains contain amplified PfMDR1 (Volkman et al.,

1993; Wilson et al., 1993). Finally, genereplacement analysis of PfMDR1 has demonstrated a central role for this gene product in mefloquine, quinine and halofantrine resistance, and a contributory role in chloroquine resistance (Reed et al., 2000).

The contribution of ABC transporters to chloroquine and mefloquine resistance in *P. falciparum* is further supported by the reversal phenomenon observed when these drugs are combined with agents such as verapamil or penfluridol, resulting in a sensitive phenotype. Hundreds of compounds have been identified that can reverse mammalian MDR, and the results of several studies show that these compounds have similar activity in *Plasmodium* (Oduola *et al.*, 1998; Sowunmi *et al.*, 1998).

The difficulty of manipulating P. falciparum experimentally makes the use of heterologous systems, for the investigation of parasite genes and their homologues, attractive. Systems based on the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae have the advantages of mutant strains that are readily available and ease of handling. In S. cerevisiae, MDR is referred to as pleiotropic drug resistance (PDR) and is associated with a number of P-glycoprotein homologues. The project to sequence the entire S. cerevisiae genome revealed 30 ABC genes that have been classified into six distinct subfamilies based on the results of phylogenetic analysis (Decottignies and Goffeau, 1997; Kuchler and Egner, 1997; Taglicht and Michaelis, 1998; Bauer et al., 1999; Schuller and Ruis, 2002). With 10 members, the PDR family is the largest of these subgroups and, to date, there have been a total of seven ABC genes

© 2004 The Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine DOI: 10.1179/000349804225021523

20050419 049

directly associated with modulation of resistance to xenobiotics in S. cerevisiae. The focus of the present study was the role of five of the PDR genes (PDR5, PDR10, PDR12, PDR15 and SNO2). PDR5 has been linked to resistance to cycloheximide, mycotoxins and cerulenin, and has been found to transport steroids (Balzi et al., 1994; Bissinger and Kuchler, 1994; Hirata et al., 1994; Kralli et al., 1995; Mahe et al., 1996; Bauer et al., 1999; Schuller and Ruis, 2002). A second member of the PDR group, SNO2, has been linked to resistance to 4nitroquinoline-N-oxide (4-NQO), methylnitro-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) and metal ions such as Na+, Li+ and Mn+ (Servos et al., 1993; Miyahara et al., 1996; Bauer et al., 1999). Yeast cells with deletions in SNO2 and PDR5 exhibit a more pronounced sensitivity to metal ions and other drug substrates (Bauer et al., 1999). In terms of their sequences, PDR10 and PDR15 appear closely related to PDR5 (65% sequence identity), although the functional relatedness, if any, of these genes remains to be determined. Interestingly, both PDR10 and PDR15, like PDR5 and SNO2, have been reported to localize on the cell surface (Bissinger and Kuchler, 1994; Parle-McDermott et al., 1996; Egner et al., 1998; Bauer et al., 1999). Like PDR5, SNQ2 has a closely related homologue (60% identity), PDR12. Expression of Pdr12p mediates resistance to weak organic acids, including benzoate, propionate, sorbate. acetate. and fluorescein-like compounds (Parle-McDermott et al., 1996; Holyoak et al., 1999). PDR12 is induced by exogenous weak-acid stress, and to a lesser extent, by high osmolarity or ethanol, and is essential for adaptive growth during metabolic stress (Ruis and Schuller, 1995; Piper et al., 1998).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Strains and Growth Conditions**

The strains of *S. cerevisiae* used are listed in Table 1. The cells were grown in YPAD

(yeast-peptone-adenine-dextrose) liquid medium or on YPAD agar. Deletion of the ABC transporter genes *PDR5*, *SNQ2*, *PDR12*, *PDR10* or *PDR15*, either as single or multiple gene deletions, did not alter the normal growth of the yeasts under the conditions used in these experiments (see Table 2).

## **Gradient Drug Plates**

Gradient plates, which contained a continuously increasing concentration gradient of drug across the width of a square Petri dish (see Table 2), were made by adding YPAD medium containing 2% agar in two steps (Cunningham et al., 1986; Memisoglu and Samson, 2000). The plates were inoculated with a yeast strain and incubated at 30°C for 48 h before the extent of yeast growth was accessed and recorded as a percentage of that seen with the wild-type strain (YPH499).

## Chloroquine-uptake Assay

The assay used to measure uptake of quinoline-3-[14C]chloroquine ([14C]chloroquine) was based on that employed by Krogstad et al. (1987), to measure chloroquine uptake in P. falciparum, and the method, for measuring Fe++ uptake in S. cerevisiae, described by Eide et al. (1992). Exponentially-growing cells were pelleted at  $1000 \times g$  for 5 min at 4°C, resuspended in approximately 1% of the original culture volume of YPAD medium, and kept on ice until use. The [14C]chloroquine was mixed with chilled YPAD medium to give a concentration of 3 nm. To measure uptake, 450 µl of this assay solution were mixed with 50 µl of cell suspension and incubated at 30°C for 6-12 h. Each assay culture was then chilled vortexed, vacuum-precipitated through a glass-fibre filter with a pore size of 1.2 µm (GF/C; Whatman) and washed with 10 ml of ice-cold, double-distilled water. The cell-associated [14C]chloroquine was then measured on an LS5000TD liquid-scintillation system (Beckman). The

TABLE 1. The strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae that were investigated

| Strain                         | Genotype  |
|--------------------------------|---|
| wld-туре<br>ҮрН499             | $MA7a$ , ade2-1010c, his $3\Delta200$ , leu2- $\Delta1$ , lys $2$ -801am, rp $1$ - $\Delta1$ , ura $3$ - $52$   |
| SINGLE-KNOCKOUT MUTANTS YKKA-7 | MATa, ade2-101oc, his 3 A 200, lea 2-A1, lvs 2-801am, mol-A1, una 3-52. And 5:: TRP1  |
| YHW10N                         | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, rp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr10::hisG-URA3-hisG  |
| I KE100<br>YHW15K              | MAI 18, ade2-1010c, 1183A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, trp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr12::hisG-URA3-hisG<br>MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, trp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr15::loxP-KanMx-loxP |
| YYM 5                          | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, trp1-A1, ura3-52, Asnq2::hisG  |
| DOUBLE-KNOCKOUT MUTANTS        |   |
| YHW105                         | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, rrp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr10::hisG, Apdr5::TRP1  |
| YRE107                         | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, rp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr5::TRP1, Apdr12::hisG-URA3-hisG   |
| YHW515                         | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, rp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr5::TRP1, Apdr15::loxp-KanMx-loxP  |
| YYM4                           | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, bys2-801am, rrp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr5::TRP1, Asna2::hisG   |
| YHW1015KN                      | $MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, rp1-A1, ura3-52, \Delta pdr10:TRP1, \Delta pdr15:loxP-KanMx-loxP$   |
| YRE108                         | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, rp1-A1, ura3-52, Asna2::hisG, Apdr12::hisG-URA3-hisG   |
| TRIPLE-KNOCKOUT MUTANTS        |   |
| YHW1052                        | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3Δ200, leu2-Δ1, lys2-801am, rrp1-Δ1, ura3-52, Δρdτ5::TRP1, Δsna2::hisG, Δρdτ10::hisG   |
| YHW10515K                      | MATa, ade2-1010c, his3A200, leu2-A1, lys2-801am, trp1-A1, ura3-52, Apdr5::TRP1, Apdr10::hisG, Apdr15::loxP-KanMx-loxP   |
|                                |   |

levels of non-specific uptake, resulting from cell-surface adsorption, were determined by preparing parallel assay cultures that were incubated on ice, not at 30°C, for 6–12 h.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compared with the wild-type, strains of S. cerevisiae deficient in PDR5 are known to have higher sensitivity to cycloheximide (Egner et al., 1998). Therefore, in order to validate the experimental system used in the present study, all the yeast strains employed were tested for sensitivity to cycloheximide, using the gradient agar-plate assay. All the strains deficient in PDR5 were confirmed to have relatively high sensitivities to cycloheximide (Table 2).

The sensitivities of the strains to chloroquine, mefloquine, quinidine, quinacrine and quinine were then explored in the gradient assay (Table 2). On a linear gradient of chloroquine from 0-197 mm, yeast strains deficient in PDR5 were found to have increased sensitivity to the drug (compared with the wild-type) unless they had the  $\Delta p dr 5/\Delta p dr 12$  double deletion. Similarly, except for the  $\Delta pdr5/\Delta pdr12$  double deletion, deletion of PDR5 resulted in cells with increased (but <2-fold higher) sensitivity to mefloquine and quinine. Sensitivity to quinacrine and quinidine was not affected by the deletion of PDR5 alone, although cells deficient in PDR5, PDR10 and SNQ2 were found to be more sensitive to both of these drugs in the gradient assays, indicating a potential overlap in substrate specificities for these ABC transporters.

Since ABC transporters have been implicated in drug export, yeast strains deficient in ABC transporters were investigated for increased drug accumulation. In these experiments, [ $^{14}$ C]chloroquine accumulation in the strains YPH499 (wild-type) and YHW1052 ( $\Delta pdr5/\Delta pdr10/\Delta snq2$ ) increased over time; there was greater accumulation, however, by the PDR deletion mutant,

YHW1052 [with mean (s.D.) values of 384.8 (57.7) fmoles/10<sup>6</sup> cells for YHW1052, and 260.0 (28.2) fmoles/10<sup>6</sup> cells for YPH499].

Yeast strains deficient in the ABC transporter gene PDR5 therefore have increased sensitivity to the quinoline drug chloroquine and increased accumulation of [14C]chloroquine. Such strains also have increased sensitivity to mefloquine and quinine, but not to quinacrine and quinidine. The association between the deletion of ABC transporters and both chloroquine sensitivity and increased chloroquine accumulation indicates an efflux-mediated model of chloroquine resistance, similar to that previously demonstrated for PDR5 and cycloheximide resistance (Egner et al., 1998).

2

A somewhat paradoxical result was observed, in the present study, when both PDR5 and PDR12, another ABC transporter responsive to weak-acid stress, were deleted. The double-knockout mutant  $\Delta pdr12$ ) was less sensitive to chloroquine than the single  $\Delta pdr5$  knockout. Increased sensitivity to cycloheximide was observed in this strain, similar to that observed in ther  $\Delta p dr 5$  strains, which indicates that drug sensitivity is not generally altered in the  $\Delta pdr5/\Delta pdr12$  strain. The decrease in sensitivity to chloroquine may indicate an indirect role for PDR12 in responding to chloroquine stress or may indicate that changes in vacuolar pH are associated with chloroquine action in S. cerevisiae. This is consistent with previous work showing that chloroquine treatment can result in changes in vacuolar pH (Pearce et al., 1999).

The transcriptional response of yeast strains YP499 (wild-type) and YHW1052 ( $\Delta pdr5$ ) to treatment with chloroquine has already been investigated (Nau et al., 2000; Emerson et al., 2002). The results of these experiments indicated no appreciable transcriptional response of ABC transporters in these yeast strains. These findings were somewhat surprising and indicated that the protection provided by ABC transporters against quinoline-ring compounds is not modulated at the transcriptional level.

TABLE 2. The growth of the PDR mutant yeasts on yeast-peptone-adenine-dextrose (YPAD) agar that contained an antimalarial drug, or no drug, as a percentage of that seen with the wild-type YPH499

|                                |              | Me          | an growth (s.D.) on | Mean growth (S.D.) on medium (% of that seen with the wild-type)* | t seen with the wild | -type)*       |             |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Strain and (deletions)         | Chloroquine  | Quinine     | Quinacrine          | Quinidine   | Mefloquine           | Cycloheximide | No drug     |
| YKKA-7 (pdr5)                  | 62.9 (9.4)   | 82.1 (4.1)  | 88.8 (7.3)          | 97.7 (3.0)  | 71.0 (11.6)          | 54.3 (7.1)    | 05 4 (2 3)  |
| YHW10N (pdr10)                 | 101.6 (3.4)  | 95.2 (2.2)  | 91.5 (5.6)          | 100.2 (2.2)   | 93.9 (5.8)           | 102.8 (3.0)   | 100.0 (1.1) |
| YRE106 (pdr12)                 | 102.6 (13.2) | 94.3 (4.7)  | 106.6 (4.9)         | 98.2 (10.6)   | 127.4 (12.6)         | 100.0 (13.0)  | 97.7 (2.5)  |
| YHW15K (pdr15)                 | 97.2 (5.0)   | 98.8 (3.2)  | 93.1 (3.5)          | 101.2 (1.2)   | 92.4 (6.2)           | 102.0 (2.5)   | 97.3 (0.7)  |
| YYM5 (snq2)                    | 99.3 (14.1)  | 99.1 (4.9)  | 100.7 (11.0)        | 97.6 (3.9)  | 114.6 (11.6)         | 100.5 (12.0)  | 97.7 (2.4)  |
| YHW105 (pdr5, pdr10)           | 40.7 (2.7)   | 78.1 (5.2)  | 80.4 (8.8)          | 98.2 (1.8)  | (10.7)               | 42.2 (4.2)    | 99.2 (1.8)  |
| YRE107 (pdr5, pdr12)           | 93.5 (14.0)  | 118.9 (5.9) | 79.0 (6.8)          | 109.2 (1.1)   | 87.3 (14.4)          | 43.6 (5.7)    | 97.7 (2.4)  |
| YHW515 (pdr5, pdr15)           | 41.8 (10.5)  | 77.0 (14.3) | 78.9 (4.8)          | 96.0 (6.0)  | 63.7 (12.5)          | 42.9 (7.3)    | (2.0) 9.66  |
| YYM4 (pdr5, snq2)              | 43.6 (6.5)   | 67.9 (3.4)  | 73.7 (7.4)          | 72.7 (7.8)  | 62.8 (11.3)          | 36.7 (4.8)    | 98.9 (2.5)  |
| YHW1015KN (pdr10, pdr15)       | 102.8 (1.9)  | 97.7 (4.6)  | 96.9 (8.5)          | 99.4 (6.4)  | 94.6 (7.2)           | 102.4 (2.4)   | 99.2 (1.8)  |
| YRE108 (snq2, pdr12)           | 99.3 (15.0)  | 91.5 (4.6)  | 94.7 (8.2)          | 64.5 (2.3)  | 116.4 (6.3)          | 94.7 (12.3)   | 95.4 (2.3)  |
| YHW1052 (pdr5, pdr10, snq2)    | 32.0 (4.8)   | 66.0 (3.3)  | 55.2 (4.3)          | 55.2 (12.2)   | 61.9 (13.7)          | 44.7 (5.8)    | 97.7 (4.4)  |
| YHW10515K (pdr5, pdr10, pdr15) | 61.7 (9.3)   | 75.5 (3.8)  | 79.0 (5.1)          | 87.5 (9.8)  | 65.5 (15.4)          | 6.7 (0.9)     | 101.1 (3.0) |

\*The agar medium, held in a square Petri dish, contained no drug or a continuous concentration gradient of chloroquine (0-197 mM), mefloquine (0-0.6 mM), quinine (0-26.6 mM), quinacrine (0-21.1 mM), quinidine (0-15.4 mM) or cycloheximide (0-0.88 mM). Each mean shown is of the values from at least three independent experiments. Taken together, the present data indicate a potential role for the Pdr5p ABC transporter in mediating chloroquine sensitivity in S. cerevisiae. This observation is consistent with the hypothesis that such a transporter plays a role in mediating quinoline-drug resistance in P. falciparum, and complements the results of previous biochemical and genetic studies on the parasite (Reed et al., 2000). Interestingly, additional gene product(s) also play a major role in mediating chloroquine sensitivity and resistance, apparently through the modulation of vacuolar pH in the parasite (Fidock et al., 2000; Ursos et al., 2000, 2001).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was supported financially by the U.S. Department of the Army (via AASERT grants DAAG55-98-1-0186 and DAMD 17-98-1-8003) and the National Institutes of Health (via R01 grant AI27872-11). K.K. was supported by the Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (grant P12661-BIO) and, in part, by funds from the Herzfelder'sche Familienstiftung and by COST action B16. The authors thank R. Egner and Y. Mahé for help with strain construction, and W. Milhous and M. Novak, both of the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR), for the [14C]chloroquine (WR1544). They also wish to thank N. Roy (also of the WRAIR) for his technical assistance. A portion of this work comes from the doctoral work of L.R.E. performed at Harvard University. This article has been reviewed and approved for publication by the WRAIR. The opinions or assertions contained herein are, however, the private views of the authors, and are not to be construed as official, or as reflecting the true views of the U.S. Department of the Army or the U.S. Department of Defense.

L. R. EMERSON
B. C. SKILLMAN
Division of Experimental Therapeutics,
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research,

503 Robert Grant Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20910, U.S.A.

H. WOLFGER
K. KUCHLER
Institute of Medical Biochemistry,
Department for Molecular Genetics,
University and BioCenter of Vienna,
A-1030 Vienna, Austria

 D. F. WIRTH
 Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases,
 Harvard School of Public Health,
 Boston, MA 02115, U.S.A.

Received 14 November 2003, Revised 10 March 2004, Accepted 12 March 2004

Reprint requests to: L. R. Emerson. E-mail: lyndal.emerson@us.army.mil; fax: +1 301 319 9449.

#### REFERENCES

Balzi, E., Wang, M., Leterme, S., van Dyck, L. & Goffeau, A. (1994). Journal of Biological Chemistry, 269, 2206-2214.

Bauer, B. E., Wolfger, H. & Kuchler, K. (1999). Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1461, 217-236.

Bissinger, P. H. & Kuchler, K. (1994). Journal of Biological Chemistry, 269, 4180-4186.

Cowman, A. F., Galatis, D. & Thompson, J. K. (1994). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 91, 1143-1147.

Cunningham, R. P., Saporito, S. M., Spitzer, S. G. & Weiss, B. (1986). Journal of Bacteriology, 168, 1120-1127.

Decottignies, A. & Goffeau, A. (1997). Nature Genetics, 15, 137-145.

Dorn, A., Vippagunta, S. R., Matile, H., Jaquet, C., Vennerstrom, J. L. & Ridley, R. G. (1998). Biochemical Pharmacology, 55, 727-736.

Egner, R., Rosenthal, F. E., Kralli, A., Sanglard, D. & Kuchler, K. (1998). Molecular Biology of the Cell, 9, 523-543.

Eide, D., Davis-Kaplan, S., Jordan, I., Sipe, D. & Kaplan, J. (1992). Journal of Biological Chemistry, 267, 20,774–20,781.

- Emerson, L. R., Nau, M. E., Martin, R. K., Kyle, D. E., Vahey, M. & Wirth, D. F. (2002). Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 46, 787-796.
- Fidock, D. A., Nomura, T., Talley, A. K., Cooper, R. A., Dzekunov, S. M., Ferdig, M. T., Ursos, L. M., Sidhu, A. B., Naude, B., Deitsch, K. W., Su, X. Z., Wootton, J. C., Roepe, P. D. & Wellems, T. E. (2000). *Molecular Cell*, 6, 861–871.
- Foley, M. (1995). Parasitology Today, 11, 436-439. Foley, M. & Tilley, L. (1998). Pharmacology as
- Foley, M. & Tilley, L. (1998). Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 79, 55–87.
- Foote, S. J. & Cowman, A. F. (1994). Acta Tropica, 56, 157-171.
- Foote, S. J., Thompson, J. K., Cowman, A. F. & Kemp, D. J. (1989). Cell, 57, 921-930.
- Hirata, D., Yano, K., Miyahara, K. & Miyakawa, T. (1994). Current Genetics, 26, 285-294.
- Holyoak, C. D., Bracey, D., Piper, P. W., Kuchler, K. & Coote, P. J. (1999). Journal of Bacteriology, 181, 4644-4652.
- Kralli, A., Bohen, S. P. & Yamamoto, K. R. (1995).
  Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 92, 4701–4705.
- Krogstad, D. J., Gluzman, I. Y., Kyle, D. E., Oduola, A. M., Martin, S. K., Milhous, W. K. & Schlesinger, P. H. (1987). *Science*, 238, 1283–1285.
- Kuchler, K. & Egner, R. (1997). In *Unusual Secretory Pathways: from Bacteria to Man*, eds Kuchler, K., Holland, B. & Rubartelli, A. pp. 49-85. Austin, TX: Landes Bioscience.
- Mahe, Y., Lemoine, Y. & Kuchler, K. (1996). *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 271, 25,167–25,172.
- Memisoglu, A. & Samson, L. (2000). Journal of Bacteriology, 182, 2104-2112.
- Miyahara, K., Mizunuma, M., Hirata, D., Tsuchiya, E. & Miyakawa, T. (1996). FEBS Letters, 399, 317-320.
- Nau, M. E., Emerson, L. R., Martin, R. K., Kyle, D. E., Wirth, D. F. & Vahey, M. (2000). Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 38, 1901–1908.
- Oduola, A. M., Sowunmi, A., Milhous, W. K., Brewer, T. G., Kyle, D. E., Gerena, L., Rossan, R. N., Salako,

- L. A. & Schuster, B. G. (1998). American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 58, 625-629.
- Parle-McDermott, A. G., Hand, N. J., Goulding, S. E. & Wolfe, K. H. (1996). *Yeast*, 12, 999-1004.
- Pearce, D. A., Carr, C. J., Das, B. & Sherman, F. (1999). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 96, 11,341-11,345.
- Peel, S. A., Bright, P., Yount, B., Handy, J. & Baric, R. S. (1994). American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 51, 648-658.
- Piper, P., Mahe, Y., Thompson, S., Pandjaitan, R., Holyoak, C., Egner, R., Muhlbauer, M., Coote, P. & Kuchler, K. (1998). EMBO Journal, 17, 4257–4265.
- Reed, M. B., Saliba, K. J., Caruana, S. R., Kirk, K. & Cowman, A. F. (2000). Nature, 403, 906-909.
- Ruis, H. & Schuller, C. (1995). Bioessays, 17, 959-965.Schuller, C. & Ruis, H. (2002). Results and Problems in Cell Differentiation, 35, 169-189.
- Servos, J., Haase, E. & Brendel, M. (1993). Molecular and General Genetics, 236, 214-218.
- Slater, A. F. & Cerami, A. (1992). Nature, 355, 167-169.
- Sowunmi, A., Oduola, A. M., Ogundahunsi, O. A. & Salako, L. A. (1998). Tropical Medicine and International Health, 3, 177-183.
- Taglicht, D. & Michaelis, S. (1998). Methods in Enzymology, 292, 130-162.
- Ursos, L. M., DuBay, K. F. & Roepe, P. D. (2001).
  Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, 112, 11-17.
- Ursos, L. M., Dzekunov, S. M. & Roepe, P. D. (2000).

  Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, 110, 125–134.
- Volkman, S. K., Wilson, C. M. & Wirth, D. F. (1993).
  Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, 57, 203–211.
- Wellems, T. E., Walker-Jonah, A. & Panton, L. J. (1991). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 88, 3382-3386.
- Wilson, C. M., Volkman, S. K., Thaithong, S., Martin, R. K., Kyle, D. E., Milhous, W. K. & Wirth, D. F. (1993). Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, 57, 151-160.